The effect of hyperbaric oxygen therapy on fracture healing in nicotinized rats
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: The aim of the present study was to investigate the effect of hyperbaric oxygen therapy on fracture healing in nicotinized rats.

METHODS: Thirty-two rats were divided as follows: nicotinized group (1), hyperbaric oxygen group (2), nicotinized + hyperbaric oxygen group (3), and control group (4). For 28 days, nicotine was administered in Groups 1 and 3. Then, a standard shaft fracture was induced in the left femur of rats. Groups 2 and 3 underwent hyperbaric oxygen therapy for 21 days. At the end of the experiment, fracture site, left femur and whole body bone mineral content and density were measured.

RESULTS: The radiological and histopathological scores of Group 1 were statistically significantly lower compared to Groups 2, 3 and 4, and there was no statistically significant difference between the Groups 2, 3 and 4. In a comparison between the groups, no statistically significant difference was found in terms of bone mineral content and density values measured at the fracture site, left femur and whole body.

CONCLUSION: The negative effects of nicotine on fracture healing are eliminated with hyperbaric oxygen therapy, but hyperbaric oxygen alone does not cause significant changes in healing (radiologically and histopathologically).
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INTRODUCTION

Fracture healing is a dynamic process governed by cellular and biochemical agents. Despite the investigation of many factors that may affect this process and the achieved progress on this issue, there are still problems in fracture healing.[1] Common cigarette use in society represents a significant health problem. In the literature, it has been reported in many studies that cigarettes and their major component nicotine delay bone healing because of their negative effects on osteoblasts and tissue oxygenation.[2–7] Hyperbaric oxygen (HBO), on the other hand, increases tolerance to ischemia by increasing tissue oxygenation[8–10] and is used as a method of therapy in diabetic wounds, compartment syndrome, crush injuries, anaerobic infections, and irradiated wounds.[11] In studies carried out recently, it has been stated that HBO treatment has positive effects on osteoblasts in addition to tissue oxygenation and increases bone healing.[12–15]

We postulated that the negative effects of nicotine on fracture healing could be eliminated by the positive effects of HBO on bone metabolism. To the best of our knowledge, there are no experimental studies evaluating the effects of nicotine and HBO together in a fracture healing model using...
radiological, histopathological and dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) findings.

In this study, we investigated the effects of nicotine and HBO together in fracture healing in terms of radiological, histopathological and DXA findings.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this study, 32 male Sprague-Dawley rats (weight: 230-262 g; age: 3 months) were used. The experiments were conducted after approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board, and animal care complied with the guidelines of the authors’ institution or any national law on the care and use of laboratory animals (reference number: 2011/62). The included rats were randomly divided into four groups (n=8): nicotinized (Group 1), HBO (Group 2), nicotinized+HBO (Group 3), and control (Group 4). The rats were followed for a period of 48 hours in the lab and kept at 22°C during the study, with a 12-hour light and dark rhythm. For the feeding of rats, unlimited tap water and standard rodent feed were used.

Prior to the surgical procedure, for 28 days, in Groups 1 and 3, nicotine (Sigma CAS No. 22083-74-5) (2 mg/kg, twice a day subcutaneously) and in Groups 2 and 4, saline solution (1 ml, twice a day subcutaneously) were administered.

After the surgical procedure, for 21 days, HBO (2.5 atmospheric pressure 100% oxygen as a single session, 2 hours/day) was applied in Groups 2 and 3 starting immediately after the surgery (Fig. 1), whereas Groups 1 and 4 did not receive any therapy. At the end of the 21st day, in all rats, the Kirschner wire was reached via an anterolateral incision between the femoral condyles in the left knee, under anesthesia. The Kirschner wires were removed retrogradely (in order to avoid affecting DXA measurements). After the DXA measurements, all rats were sacrificed by cervical dislocation.

The left femurs of rats were disarticulated at the hip and knee joints, and the callus tissue was dissected, without damaging the soft tissues, to perform the radiological and histopathological evaluations. One rat in Group 1 was excluded due to infection at the fracture site.

Surgical Procedure

Preoperatively, anesthetic ketamine (Ketalar®, Pfizer, Istanbul, Turkey) 50 mg/kg and xylazine (Rompun®, Bayer, Istanbul, Turkey) 10 mg/kg combination was administered subcutaneously. The open osteotomy method used by Doyon et al. in their studies was applied with modification in the surgical technique. Accordingly, the left knee and femurs of the rats were shaved. The local field was cleaned with a solution of povidone iodine (Batticon®, ADEKA, Istanbul, Turkey). Under sterile conditions, a 2-2.5 cm incision was made starting anterolateral of the left knee, extending to the lateral femur distally. Proximally, the joint was reached via the lateral parapatellar approach. The femoral condyles were exposed by dislocating the patella medially. The distal femur was reached with blunt dissection along the vastus lateralis and hamstring muscles. The standard fracture was induced with a Gigli wire, at the middle 1/3 of the femur shaft. Kirschner wire (Hippocrates®, Izmir, Turkey) of 1 mm diameter was placed retrogradely in the intramedullary canal, towards the greater trochanter from the distal femoral condyles. After the fixation of the fracture, the patella was reduced and stabilized with absorbable sutures. Following the closure of the skin with non-absorbable sutures, the wound was cleaned with povidone iodine.

Table 1. The histopathological scoring for the evaluation of fracture healing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Histopathological findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fibrous tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Predominantly fibrous tissue with little cartilage tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Equal amounts of fibrous and cartilage tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Only cartilage tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Predominantly cartilage tissue with little immature (woven) bone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Equal amounts of cartilage and immature bone tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Predominantly immature bone with little cartilage tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Healing with immature (woven) bone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Immature bone with little mature bone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Healing with mature (lamellar) bone</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. The images of the rats during HBO application.
Radiological Evaluation

For the radiological evaluation, anteroposterior and lateral radiographs of the left femurs of the rats were taken. The healing was evaluated by an independent orthopedic surgeon, using the radiological scoring system described by Lane et al.,\cite{19} over 4 points (0=no healing, 1=callus formation, 2=onset of bony union, 3=beginning of disappearance of fracture line, 4=complete bony union). In bilateral group comparisons, a decrease in the score was assigned as a negative effect on fracture healing, whereas an increase was evaluated as a positive effect.

Histopathological Evaluation

For the histopathological assessment, all femurs were fixed in 10% buffered formalin solution for a period of two weeks, and then in Bouin’s solution for two days. After the fixation, the femurs were decalcified in 10% acetic acid, 0.85% NaCl and 10% formalin solutions. The samples were then embedded in paraffin blocks and after the 3-4 micron thick sections were taken, they were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. For the evaluation of fracture healing, the histopathological improvement scale, as defined by Huo et al.,\cite{20} in which scoring is done over 10 points, was used (Table 1). The slides were evaluated by the same pathologist to ensure standardization. In bilateral group comparisons, a decrease in the score was assigned as a negative effect on fracture healing, whereas an increase was evaluated as a positive effect.

DXA Assessment

The left femur fracture site (the fracture center plus an area of 0.3 cm proximally and distally), whole left femur and whole body bone mineral density (BMD) and bone mineral content (BMC) measurements of the rats were done using DXA (Hologic, Discovery QDR 4500A, WA, USA). Before each procedure, calibration of the instrument was done in accordance with the manufacturer’s standard “small step phantom”, including the two measurements and the recordings before and after the application of the “small animal” mode. The measurements were assessed by the same person to ensure standardization.

Statistical Evaluation

All the data were recorded and analyzed using the SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) 18.0. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk tests were performed to determine if the data were normally distributed. Nonparametric tests were performed for the parameters without a normal distribution. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used for comparison between the groups. The Mann-Whitney U test was used for comparison between two groups in radiological, histopathological and DXA evaluations. The data were summarized as median (minimum-maximum). A p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Radiological Findings

The radiological scores for all groups are given in Table 2. The radiological score of Group 1 was significantly lower as compared to Groups 2, 3 and 4 (p=0.009, p=0.027, p=0.016, respectively). There was no statistically significant difference be-
tween Groups 2, 3 and 4 in terms of radiological scores (p>0.05) (Fig. 2).

**Histopathological Findings**

The histopathological scores of all tissue samples taken from all groups at the end of the 21st day are given in Table 2. The histopathological score of Group 1 was statistically significantly lower compared to Groups 2, 3 and 4 (p=0.005, p=0.005, p=0.006, respectively). There was no statistically significant difference between Groups 2, 3 and 4 in terms of histopathological scores (p>0.05) (Fig. 3).

**DXA Findings**

Table 2 shows the fracture site, whole left femur and whole body BMC and BMD values measured in all groups, before the experiment was terminated, at the end of the 21st day. There was no statistically significant difference between groups in terms of BMC and BMD values (p>0.05).

**DISCUSSION**

Many factors having an effect on fracture healing have been analyzed, and nicotine is among the most well known. In many studies in the literature, nicotine has been reported to reduce BMD and to delay healing due to its negative effects on bone metabolism.[2-7,21,22]

Nicotine delays fracture healing through different mechanisms of action. In a rabbit model of mandibular lengthening, Zheng et al.[3] studied the effects of nicotine on bone healing, and reported that nicotine reduces bone formation by their inhibitory effect on osteoblasts. In the same study, they also demonstrated that nicotine reduces blood flow due to the lack of compensation for vasoconstriction in the distraction regenerate and decreases bone formation due to the decreased oxygen tension. Theiss et al.[4] reported that nicotine reduces the expression of genes related to cytokines, which affect osteoblast differentiation and neoangiogenesis. Chen et al. [21] reported that nicotine delays fracture healing by inhibiting the secretion of tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α through the activation of the cholinergic anti-inflammatory pathway.

In our study, a fixed dose of subcutaneous nicotine was given for the nicotinization of the rats. The exposure of the rats to nicotine was ended preoperatively because we believe that patients subject to a fracture would stop smoking for at least the duration of healing. However, we believe that the negative effects of smoking would not disappear immediately after cessation of smoking. In our study, a significant negative effect on fracture healing was observed in the nicotinized compared with the control group, in terms of the radiological and histopathological findings (p=0.016, p=0.006, respectively). This demonstrates that the negative effects of nicotine continue despite the preoperative cessation of nicotine administration.

The HBO therapy is a method of 100% oxygen inhalation, in a closed pressure chamber, at high pressure of more than 1 atmosphere. In many studies, HBO therapy has been reported to have positive effects on bone healing[12-15] Wu[12] and Hsieh et al.,[13] in their studies investigating the effectiveness of HBO on human osteoblasts in vitro, reported that HBO increases the proliferation and differentiation of osteoblasts. Muhonen et al.[15] reported in a mandibular distraction osteogenesis model they created in rabbits, that preoperative HBO application increases the cor-
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**Table 2.** Bone mineral content, bone mineral density values, radiological and histopathological scores of the groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Bone mineral content, bone mineral density values, radiological and histopathological scores of the groups</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Bone mineral content, bone mineral density values, radiological and histopathological scores of the groups</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Bone mineral content, bone mineral density values, radiological and histopathological scores of the groups</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Bone mineral content, bone mineral density values, radiological and histopathological scores of the groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>Nicotine group; Group 2: HBO group; Group 3: Nicotine+HBO group; Group 4: Control group; BMD R1: Bone mineral density of the total left femur; BMC R1: Bone mineral content of the total left femur; BMD R2: Bone mineral density of the left femur fracture site; BMC R2: Bone mineral content of the left femur fracture site; BMD total: Whole body bone mineral density; BMC total: Whole body bone mineral content.</td>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>Nicotine group; Group 2: HBO group; Group 3: Nicotine+HBO group; Group 4: Control group; BMD R1: Bone mineral density of the total left femur; BMC R1: Bone mineral content of the total left femur; BMD R2: Bone mineral density of the left femur fracture site; BMC R2: Bone mineral content of the left femur fracture site; BMD total: Whole body bone mineral density; BMC total: Whole body bone mineral content.</td>
<td>Group 3</td>
<td>Nicotine group; Group 2: HBO group; Group 3: Nicotine+HBO group; Group 4: Control group; BMD R1: Bone mineral density of the total left femur; BMC R1: Bone mineral content of the total left femur; BMD R2: Bone mineral density of the left femur fracture site; BMC R2: Bone mineral content of the left femur fracture site; BMD total: Whole body bone mineral density; BMC total: Whole body bone mineral content.</td>
<td>Group 4</td>
<td>Control group; BMD R1: Bone mineral density of the total left femur; BMC R1: Bone mineral content of the total left femur; BMD R2: Bone mineral density of the left femur fracture site; BMC R2: Bone mineral content of the left femur fracture site; BMD total: Whole body bone mineral density; BMC total: Whole body bone mineral content.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ruptured osteoblastic activity in bones that previously received radiation, but it could not be taken to the level of the control group not receiving radiation. In the same study, it was stated that HBO has apparent effects on neovascularization.

In the literature, there are studies conducted with different agents, which eliminate the negative effects of nicotine on wound healing.[23] As far as we know, only two studies have been conducted on the effectiveness of HBO to resolve the negative effects of nicotine on bone healing. In a model of tibial lengthening in rabbits, using BMD measurements and biomechanical testing, Ueng et al.[24] reported that inhalation of cigarettes delays bone healing, and HBO therapy accelerates the healing by reducing the effect of the cigarettes. In their study in rabbits, Yen et al.[25] reported that by inhalation of cigarettes, bone healing was delayed as a result of impaired function of the tibial vascular endothelial cells and decreased blood flow. The same study also emphasized that HBO reduces the deleterious effects of smoking.

In our study, there was a statistically significant difference in radiological and histopathological scores in the nicotinized+HBO group compared to the nicotinized group, whereas no statistically significant difference was found between the HBO, nicotinized+HBO and control groups (Table 2). This suggested that the negative effects of nicotine on fracture healing are eliminated with HBO therapy, but HBO alone did not cause significant changes in healing radiologically or histopathologically. This condition may have been caused by the fact that HBO can manifest its positive effects on fracture healing more apparently in the presence of the negative effects of nicotine (probably due to the interaction of reverse mechanisms), while its positive effects are not sufficiently manifested in the absence of nicotine (probably due to the other more effective factors on the process). In some studies in the literature, it has been shown that positive effects of HBO treatment on bone metabolism occur in longer terms than the length of our follow-up. Kürklü et al.[26] reported in a nonunion model they created in rabbit tibia that applying HBO therapy 2 hours per day over a 20-day period under 2.5 atmospheric pressure did not affect healing on the 30th day radiologically or scintigraphically. In the same study, it was stated that HBO has apparent effects on neovascularization.

In conclusion, in the short-term follow-up, the negative effects of nicotine on fracture healing were relieved with HBO therapy; however, HBO alone did not cause significant changes in healing, radiologically or histopathologically. We suggest that patients who undergo surgery for fracture should cease smoking and receive HBO therapy.
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AMAÇ: Bu çalışmanın amacı, nikotinize yapılan hiperbarik oksijen oksijenin kırık iyileşmesi üzerine etkisini incelemektir.

GEREC VE YONTEM: Otuz iki adet sıcak dörtlü grubunun, nikotinize + hiperbarik oksijen grubun (3) ve kontrol grubu (4) olarak ayrılmıştır. Yirmi dört gün boyunca, gruba 3'e nikotin uygulanmıştır. Daha sonra, sıçanların sol femurlarında standart cisim kırığı oluşturulmuştur. Yirmi dört gün boyunca, gruba 2 ve grup 3'e hiperbarik oksijen tedavisinin uygulanması sonucunda, kemik mineral içeriği ve dansitesi ölçüldü.

BULGULAR: Radyolojik ve histopatolojik skorlar, gruba 1'de, gruba 2, 3 ve 4'e göre anlamlı düzeyde düşüşlu bulundu. Grup 2, 3 ve 4 arasında radyolojik ve histopatolojik skorlar arasında standart cinsiyet ve yaş grupları dahil olmak üzere anlamlı şeffaf şekilde görülmüştür. Gruplararası karşılaştırma sonucu, kontrol grubunda kemik mineral içeriği ve dansitesi, diğer gruba göre düşüktü.

TARTIŞMA: Nikotin, kırık iyileşmesi üzerinde olumsuz etkileri nedeniyle, hiperbarik oksijen tedavisine giren hiperbarik oksijen tedavisine ek olarak radyolojik ve histopatolojik skorlar ile kırık iyileşmesi değerlendirilmesi önemlidir. Anahtar sözcükler: Hiperbarik oksijen; kırık iyileşmesi; nikotin.